

| | |
|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Document Name | OBMG Actions & Notes 270821 |
| Author | Cameron MacPhail |
| Date | 25 October 2021 |
| Reference | OBMG |



| | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Meeting | Oban Bay Management Group |
| Meeting Purpose | Improving Marine Safety at Oban |
| Venue | MS Teams video conference |
| Date | 27 Aug 2021 |

Attendees:

| Name | Organisation |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Phil Day | NLB Chair |
| Jim Smith | A&BC |
| Paul Jennings | OBHM |
| Cameron MacPhail | CFL |
| David McHardie | CMAL |
| Ramsay Muirhead | CMAL |
| Tony Bennett | Oban Bay Stakeholders |
| Scott Goodwill | CFL |
| Mike Brew | NLB |
| Vicki McKenzie | A&BC |
| David Rennie | A&BC |
| Ross Wilson | OCHDA |
| Karl Zaczec | Transport Scotland |
| John MacAlister | OCHDA |
| Ewen MacKerchar | NLB |

| | |
|------------------|------|
| Kirsty Flannagan | A&BC |
|------------------|------|

| Item | Detail | Responsible | Action | Timescale |
|----------|--|-------------|---|--------------------------|
| 1 | Apologies | | | |
| | John McAlister (OCHDA) | | | |
| | Paul Jennings (OBHM) | | | |
| | Scott Goodwill (CFL) | | | |
| | Vicki McKenzie (A&BC) | | | |
| | Ewen Mackerchar (NLB) | | | |
| 2 | Safety | | | |
| | CFL provided update 3 rd July 2021 incident. CFL investigating and will confirm output to management group. | OBHM | OBHM to seek formal output from CFL Marine. CFL conducted an internal investigation. The ships conduct has been addressed and CFL has closed out actions in line with the investigation findings. | 30 th Sept 21 |
| | Glen incident – was this been reported to authorities (MAIB)? | OBHM | OBHM to confirm if reported. Reported to MAIB. MAIB investigation closed on 25 May 21. | 7 th Sept 21 |
| 3 | Oil Spill response contingency plan and MOU | | | |
| | MOU to be 7 th Sept between parties. Next steps to review OSCP if required and NRA by end Oct 21. | OBHM | Meeting of all parties to take place 7 th Sept, agree current MOU and publish. Output review NRA to be carried out following meeting. Complete. | 30 th Oct 21 |
| | Share OSCP in a central location. | OBHM | Previous action – plans were to be stored centrally. Not possible. All have up to date | 30 th Oct 21 |

| Item | Detail | Responsible | Action | Timescale |
|------|---|-------------|--|-----------|
| | | | copies of the plans and next review date agreed. | |
| 4 | <p>OCHDA HRO Progress Update</p> <p>RM raised concerns about receiving documents only 2/3 days before meeting – not time to review.</p> <p>OCDHA- TB</p> <p>Provided update on current position. TB raised concerns about OBMG meeting early July and letter received. Felt like back to 2015 position. Frustrations – letter asking for update, contained request for information already in progress with A&BC. Papers had already been submitted to A&BC.</p> <p>TB responded to OBMG letter and provided position -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funding – has no current liabilities, cash in bank over £10k. Has sufficient funding for HO. • Assets – zero assets currently • Discussions ongoing with HIE • OCHDA committee concerned about money spent on undertaking already with no commitment to date from A&BC. • Financial Plan – contained with paper circuited 26/08/21. Investment of surplus harbour income– OCDHA cannot answer, for future Trust Port board on that matter. • Governance – overview provided • Overview – Harbour Board would be set up in line with modern trust port. • Technical Considerations – exercise untaken winter20 for extent of area. Work carried out with various parties building on Monica Petto | | | |

| Item | Detail | Responsible | Action | Timescale |
|------|---|-------------|--------|-----------|
| | <p>previous work. Earlier disagreement on boundary, has now been agreed with CMAL. Current position - under legislation the wider harbour j is still valid but moribund OCHDA position. A&BC SMS states boundary does include wider area so doubt remains</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • VTS – suggesting Local Port Service but ultimately Trust board will decide at earliest opportunity. • CHA – no, intention is SHA. • SMS – underway • DP – engagement underway with ABPMer, at present. • Duty Holder – uncertainty at first (CEO or board). To be board. • OSCP – Prelim engagement with Briggs. • Engagement – various parties supporting OCHDA delivery. Ex Trust Port chair, Ex QHM etc. • OBMG Protected Provisions – has been listed in HO. To be discussed with Solicitor. <p>Revised timeline – current stalled under decision is made by A&BC regards North Pier. A&BC paper has March 22 for harbour board paper.</p> <p>Update on OCHDA provided 26/08/2021 –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expands on the original work carried out by OBSG. • Looked to update Fisher Associates paper from 2014. | | | |

| Item | Detail | Responsible | Action | Timescale |
|------|---|-------------|---|--------------------------|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thanks given to CFL and A&BC for providing traffic movements data. • North Pier data commercial on tonnage, pontoons via length. OCHDA requested additional info to understand detail. In 2019 Nearly 50% is ABC North Pier/30% CFL Vessels/20% railway & south pier. Significant change 2014 to 2019. • Large vessel understanding (length over 20 metres)– 2/3rds are CalMac vessels. 1/3 is out with – half of large vessel go to North Pier. • Conservancy Charge– reviewed previous CMAL proposal of a flat rate charge. Ferry would account for 90% of conservancy rate. OCHDA looked at a consolidated route charge. Current proposal is based on discounted position on all CFL vessels. OCHDA want to encourage cruise . ‘Wet Port’ would be viable. • Combined Operating model – reviewed historic accounts with wet port and ABC assets make more attractive. North Pier is operating at surplus currently. • Financial Projections – paper provides list of assumptions. If North Pier included additional costs relating to staffing/delivery. • Current position – we can not progress further until meet with A&BC to discuss lease etc. <p>A&BC - JS A&BC couldn't provide update to OBMG until report issued formally, hence issued in last 24hours. The harbour board report provides five outputs. A&BC</p> | A&BC JM | Provide/update OBMG with A&BC Harbour Board decision. | 30 th Sept 21 |

| Item | Detail | Responsible | Action | Timescale |
|------|---|-------------|--------|-----------|
| | <p>taking advice from solicitors, and currently feel don't have enough information to provide a recommendation. Point 2.1 sets out council position. Harbour Board report due to be presented to Harbour Board following Thursday. Output will come from Harbour Board.</p> <p>Council board decision will drive next steps. A&BC to inform OBMG of decision.</p> <p>CFL - CM CM asked if 'Wet Port' is viable – why can this not progress? TB provided overall safety is key objective. Having to communicate with two HA within such a small area brings risk given the change of balance in vessel types.</p> <p>CM asked for ref in MOU page 11 & 25 footnote and amend CalMac Harbour Manager to OBHM. TB to update.</p> <p>CM suggested there are areas for collaboration between Trust port and current operators – e.g. Tier 2 response, DP. Could offer an additional saving.</p> <p>OCHDA - RW What criteria is going to be used for like for like review? JS response - it would be an options appraisal to be undertaken. Timeline – there is harbour meeting next week and this will drive the timeline. RW – wet port option is not the preferred option.</p> | | | |

| Item | Detail | Responsible | Action | Timescale |
|----------|---|-------------|--|--------------------------|
| | <p>A&BC - KF Wet Port – what is Harbour Board doesn't progress the asset transfer. What would OCHDA do? TB – don't know, not been discussed recently with OCHDA team. Preference is combined model.</p> <p>TS – KZ Previous 2019 – recalls position wet port would not be able to sustain provision alone.</p> | | | |
| 5 | CMAL HRO progress update | | | |
| | RM update – no progress to date, draft with CMAL to review and progress. RM will progress on return from leave, end Sept. | RM | To review current draft HRO and share outputs with OBMG. | 7 th Oct 2021 |
| 6 | AOB | | | |
| | <p>CMAL DH – provided update on Oban Harbour webcam, now be installed.</p> <p>NLB PD – VTS IMO definition is coming under one. PD to provide detail to group.</p> <p>NLB PD noted the terms “right of way” in some documents in reference to ferries or larger vessels is incorrect. There is no right of way for vessels but they all must comply with the International Convention for the prevention of collision at sea, in particular rule 9 narrow channels which is what has been used to define the code of conduct for Oban Bay and is why length of 20 metres is used to differentiate large vessels.</p> | PD | Provide IMO update to members, including OCHDA | 7 th Sept 21 |
| | | | | |

| Item | Detail | Responsible | Action | Timescale |
|-------------|---|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 7 | Next Meeting date | | | |
| | Agreed by Doodle poll 27 Oct 15:00- 16:30 | OBHM | To circulate doodle poll to members | 7 th Sept 21 |
| | | | | |